

Progetto Ematologia Romagna

Mieloma multiplo: i lunghi sopravviventi Introduzione







DIPARTIMENTO DI MEDICINA SPECIALISTICA. Diagnostica e sperimentale

Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna; Istituto di Ematologia "Seràgnoli"; Dipartimento di Medicina Specialistica, Diagnostica e Sperimentale; Università degli Studi di Bologna



Improvement in MM outcome: Longer overall survival

- There are 1038 patients grouped into 2001-2005 and 2006-2010 cohorts
- Use of novel agents in treatment regimens improved OS



MM, multiple myeloma. Kumar SK, et al. *Leukemia* 2014;28:1122-28.

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA

7 Novembre 2020

IMWG MRD criteria		criteria	International Myeloma Working Group consensus criteria for response and minimal residual disease assessment in multiple myeloma	
	IMWG MRD negativity criteria (requires a complete response)		Shaji Kumar, Bruno Paiva, Kenneth C Anderson, Brian Durie, Ola Landgren, Philippe Moreau, Nikhil Munshi, Sagar Lonial, Joan Bladé, Maria-Victoria Mateos, Meletios Dimopoulos, Efstathios Kastritis, Mario Boccadoro, Robert Orlowski, Hartmut Goldschmidt, Andrew Spencer, Jian Hou, Wee Joo Chng, Saad Z Usmani, Elena Zamagni, Kazuyuki Shimizu, Sundar Jagannath, Hans Ejohnsen, Evangelos Terpos, Anthony Reiman, Robert A Kyle, Pieter Sonneveld, Paul G Richardson, Philip McCarthy, Heinz Ludwig, Wenming Chen, Michele Cavo, Jean-Luc Harousseau, Suzanne Lentzsch, Jens Hillengas, Antonio Palumbo, Alberto Orfao, S Vincent Rajkumar, Jesus San Miquel, Herve Avet-Loiseau	
	Response SubCategory	Response Criteria		
	Sustained MRD-negative	MRD negativity in the marrow (NGF or NGS, or both) and by imaging as defined below, confirmed minimum of 1 year apart . Subsequent evaluations can be used to further specify the duration of negativity (eg, MRD-negative at 5 years) ⁺		
	Flow MRD-negative	Absence of phenotypically aberrant clonal plasma cells by NGF [‡] on bone marrow aspirates using the EuroFlow standard operation procedure for MRD detection in multiple myeloma (or validated equivalent method) with a minimum sensitivity of 1 in 10⁵ nucleated cells or higher		
	Sequencing MRD-negative	Absence of clonal plasma cells by NGS on bone marrow aspirate in which presence of a clone is defined as less than two identical sequencing reads obtained after DNA sequencing of bone marrow aspirates using the LymphoSIGHT platform (or validated equivalent method) with a minimum sensitivity of 1 in 10⁵ nucleated cells [§] or higher		
	Imaging positive MRD-negative	MRD negativity as defined by NGF or NGS plus disappearance of every area of increased tracer uptake found at baseline or a preceding PET/CT or decrease to less mediastinal blood pool SUV or decrease to less than that of surrounding normal tissue		

MRD is the best biomarker to predict outcome and overseeds CR





2020



Munshi NC, et al. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:28-35



PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020

Lahuerta JJ, et al. JCO 2017;35:2900-10

²⁰²⁰ Depth of response and survival: importance in different settings





Myeloma Is Not One Disease



PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA

7 Novembre 2020

Kumar SK, et al. Leukemia. 2014;28:1122-1128



Prognostic factors in MM





Modern induction and post-ASCT consolidation/maintenance therapies are inducing high rates of MRD negativity: MRD data (10⁻⁵)



CASSIOPEIA study



GRIFFIN study

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 No

Moreau et al. The Lancet 2019;394:29-38; Avet-Loiseau H, et al. IMW 2019, Oral presentation; Voorhees PM et al., Blood. 2020;136(8):936-945

7 Novembre 2020



High-risk MM: "the black beast of MM"

- Ongoing work needed to standardize and better classify all patients in terms of risk stratification
- Despite marked improvement in outcomes of Myeloma patients; high-risk disease continues to suffer from worse outcomes
- Intensification of induction therapy may be needed to achieve functionally deep remissions (MRD- and beyond) in the high risk population
 - Quadruplet induction strategies (Weisel, et al. / Usmani, et al.)
 - Continued benefit of HDM; with some patients benefiting from tandem ASCT (Hari, et al.)
- These presentations provide a necessary framework towards the evolution of a risk-adapted treatment algorithm to overcome high-risk disease

PRESENTED AT: 2020 ASCO #ASCO #ASCO #ASCO

PRESENTED BY: JOS

чтер ву: Joshua Richter, MD

Richter J et al, ASCO 2020 educational session

.0_

FROGETTO EMATOLOGIA 24 ROMAGNA 6917 Novembre 2020

HOST from Frankfurt

ALL IN ALL IT'S JUS ANOTHER BRICK IN T WALL.

Relationship between risk and depth of the response

Progression-free survival according to FISH and NGF



The best pathway to overcome the poor prognosis of HRCA is through the achievement of MRDnegativity



PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020

2020



Current trials with MRD-driven maintenance

GEM12MENOS65 trial

Arm A Lena/dexa Lena 15 mg/d x 21d MRD Stop • GEM12menos65 Dexa 20 mg d 1-4 y 9-12 neg years R 2 at MRD Arm B Lena/dexa + Ixazomib 4mg d 1.8.15 Lena/dexa MRD -> X 3 years pos MRD annual

Maintenance (28-d cycles) Induction (28-d cycles) Consolidation (28-d cycles) Arm A R until disease progression ⊨ R 10 mg PO Days 1-28 **VRd** (n = 345) VRd ASCT 2 cycles safety, PFS2, MRD Continue on D-R until ↦ disease progression nositiv D-R Minimum 24 m • D SC Cycles 7 Arm B term s D-VRd (n = 345) Discontinue D D-VRd Continue R until PD, 4 cycles 2 cycles MRD if minimum of 1 year ↦ D SC QW Cycles 1-2; ASCT D SC Q2W Cycles 3-6 negativ Q2W Cycles 3-6 sustained MRD negativity: patients VRd same as above t loss of MRD negativity of VRd lapse from CR restart D S (QW 8 weeks: Q2W for 16 VRd dosing: V 1.3 mg/m² SC Days 1, 4, 8, 11; R 25 mg PO Days 1-21; weeks: Q4W thereafter) ŧ d 40 mg PO Days 1-4 and 9-12. MRD *Patients with post-ASCT receive period >12 weeks off D should (post consolidation) restart D Q2W 2 cycles, then Q4W thereafter. Primary endpoint: PFS

DSC, daratumumab subcutaneous; RVd, lenalidomide/bortezomib/dexamethasone;

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; QW, weekly; Q2W, every 2 weeks; Q4W, every 4 weeks; MRD, minimal residual disease; PO, ym outh; PFS2, progression-free survival on next line of therapy. Protocol EMN17/5476741MMY3014.

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Nov

7 Novembre 2020

PERSEUS trial



wKRdD Induction, ASCT and MRD Response-Adapted Consolidation in NDMM: MASTER trial







Efficacy: ORR^a and MRD^b (NGS; 10⁻⁵ Sensitivity Threshold)



• Significantly higher ORR, ≥CR rate, ≥VGPR rate, and MRD-negative rate with D-Rd

- >3-fold higher MRD negativity achieved with D-Rd
- Lower risk of progression or death with MRD negativity

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020

Facon T et al. ASH 2019

Key clinical considerations at relapse

2020





Importance of choice of second-line treatment





Options of therapy for RRMM patients



Nothing/Consolidation/Maintenance

Induction Bortezomib-based combo Lenalidomide-dex

(VRd)





Lenalidomide refractoriness: a new unmet medical need?

Moreau et al. Blood Cancer Journal (2019)9:38 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41408-019-0200-1

Blood Cancer Journal

Open Access

CLINICAL TRIALS AND OBSERVATIONS

Comment on Mikhael et al, page 123

Facing lenalidomiderefractory myeloma

Michele Cavo | Bologna University School of Medicine

Solution (11 JULY 2019 | VOLUME 134, NUMBER 2)

ARTICLE

Treatment of patients with multiple myeloma progressing on frontline-therapy with lenalidomide

Philippe Moreau¹, Elena Zamagni² and Maria-Victoria Mateos³

Abstract

Over the last years, there has been great progress in the treatment of multiple myeloma with many new agents and combinations having been approved and being now routinely incorporated into treatment strategies. As a result, patients are experiencing benefits in terms of survival and better tolerance. However, the multitude of treatment options also presents a challenge to select the best options tailored to the specific patient situation. Lenalidomide is increasingly being used as part of frontline therapy in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma. This agent is typically administered until disease progression. It is currently unclear, how to best manage patients, who relapse while receiving lenalidomide as part of their frontline treatment. We conducted a review to summarize the available evidence in this setting. Our summary shows that there are very few data from current trials testing new combinations based on carfilzomib, pomalidomide, or daratumumab that address this specific patient population. Our review is aimed to summarize the available evidence to assist treatment decision making and to raise awareness of this lack of data to encourage further analyses and the incorporation of sequencing questions in future trial designs.

Treatment of relapse: the changing landscape....



First relapse after PI and/or IMiD-based induction and len-refractory

Poma-dex + V Poma-dex + Cyclo Poma-dex + Dara Poma-dex + Isa Poma-dex + Elo Poma-dex + K

202

Kd + Dara Kd + Isa Kd + Cyclo Kd + Venetoclax Vd + Selinexor Vd + Venetoclax



Treating myeloma in 2020 – Upcoming challenges



- There is a trend towards extended duration of therapy, and highly active combinations being used already in early lines
- > The population of **refractory** patients is increasing
- > **Treatment resistance** is becoming an important and timely consideration in clinical practice

Immune impairment in MM

While the immune system is well-equipped to identify and eliminate myeloma cells, they can escape immunemediated destruction through:



- Role of graft versus Myeloma after allo-transplant as well as Donor Lymphocytes infusions
- Interferon was the first drug to stimulate the immune system
- Anti-myeloma effect of the immunomodulatory drugs

2020

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020 Rodriguez-Otero P, et al



ACTIVE

Innovative strategies are needed to overcome refractoriness to conventional drugs

Direct targeting of tumour surface antigens Monoclonal antibodies	Boosting immune effectors T-cell engagers ADC Adoptive cell therapy	PASSIVE IMMUNOTHERAPY
Activating tumour-specific immunity Vaccines	Overcoming inhibitory immune suppression Immunomodulators: IMiDs, checkpoint inhibitors	

PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020

Rodríguez-Otero P, et al. Haematologica. 2017;102:423-32.



MAMMOTH study: suboptimal outcomes in patients refractory to anti-CD38 Mo Abs

275 patients refractory to anti-CD38 mAbs

	Median OS months	
Not triple refractory	11.2	Refractory to 1 CD38 mAb, and not both PI and IMiD
Triple and quad refractory	9.2	Refractory to 1 CD38 mAb + 1 PI + 1 or 2 IMiD compounds, etc.
Penta refractory	5.6	Refractory to 1 CD38 mAb + 2 PIs + 2 IMiD compounds
Overall cohort	8.6	

249 patients received further treatment ORR 31% mPFS 3.4 months mOS 9.3 months





Efficacy Across BCMA CAR T Trials in RRMM

	KarMMa: Idecabtagene Vicleucel (n = 128)	EVOLVE: Orvacabtagene Autoleucel (n = 62)	CARTITUDE-1: JNJ-4528 (n = 29)
ORR, %	73	92	100
sCR/CR, %	33	36	86
Evaluable patients with MRD neg≥ 10 ⁵ , %	94	84	81
PFS, mos	8.8	NR*	NR^{\dagger}
DoR, mos	10.7	NR	NR
Screened, n	150		35
Apheresed, n	140		35
Treated, n	128		29

*PFS in lowest dose cohort (300 x 10^6 cells/kg): 9.3 mos. ⁺9-mo PFS: 86%.

Patel et al. ASCO 2020





PROGETTO EMATOLOGIA – ROMAGNA 7 Novembre 2020

2020